Information on the Peer Review Process:

For authors:
Those who are interested should submit a copy of their paper for refereeing by Friday 18 June 2010. We undertake to obtain two anonymous referees’ reports within a month of submission. We will also comment on the papers, and then send them back to you for revision and return by 13 August 2010. Referees will be informed that the purpose of the review is a conference proceeding, not a journal article, so that the level and scope of suggested revisions will be appropriate to this type of publication. The length of your paper should not exceed 7000 words. The process is anonymous. All references to your name will be blacked out.

For Referees:
The expectations of a referee in this instance are of two kinds.

The primary task is to indicate to the author any amendments, which you feel are necessary in order to bring the paper to an at least minimally acceptable standard of readability, coherence, presentation and referencing. Please bear in mind that online conference proceedings are exactly a record of work-in-process presented at a conference, and are not expected to meet journal publication standards. Whatever referencing system the author uses is fine, so long as it is consistently applied.

The secondary task is to indicate in more general terms how the paper might be improved conceptually and/or empirically. These comments will be very helpful in subsequent revisions and later submission of the paper as a journal article. These secondary comments can of course be very brief. All in all a one to two page report will likely prove sufficient.

Please return your report to Katarina.Ferro@sydney.edu.au.

In order to preserve anonymity, be sure not to include any identifying material on the report itself.